OPINION OF TRUSTEES

In Re

Complainant: Employee Respondent: Employer

ROD Case No: <u>88-003</u> - June 28, 1988

<u>Board of Trustees</u>: Joseph P. Connors, Sr., Chairman; Paul R. Dean, Trustee; William B. Jordan, Trustee; William Miller, Trustee; Donald E. Pierce, Jr., Trustee.

Pursuant to Article IX of the United Mine Workers of America ("UMWA") 1950 Benefit Plan and Trust, and under the authority of an exemption granted by the United States Department of Labor, the Trustees have reviewed the facts and circumstances of this dispute concerning the provision of health benefits coverage for a Pensioner under the terms of the Employer Benefit Plan.

Background Facts

The Complainant was injured while working in a classified job for the Respondent on January 15, 1986. As a result of his injury, the Complainant has been unable to return to work. On September 22, 1986, the Complainant applied for Social Security Disability Insurance ("SSDI") benefits under Title II of the Social Security Act. His application was initially denied on December 16, 1986, but was subsequently approved by an Administrative Law Judge on April 28, 1987, with a disability onset date of January 15, 1986.

The Complainant filed an application for disability pension benefits under the UMWA 1974 Pension Plan on February 26, 1987. The Complainant was notified that he was eligible for a 1974 Pension Plan Minimum Disability pension, retroactive to February 1, 1986, the month following the month ii] which he became disabled. He was advised to contact his last signatory employer, the Respondent, concerning his eligibility for health benefits coverage.

The Respondent has refused to provide health benefits coverage for the Complainant. Counsel for the Respondent has stated that the Complainant is not entitled to health benefits coverage because he is not a "Pensioner" as defined under Article I (5) of the Employer Benefit Plan.

<u>Dispute</u>

Whether the Respondent is responsible for providing health benefits coverage for the Complainant as a Pensioner.

Positions of the Parties

Opinion of Trustees Resolution of Dispute Case No. <u>88-003</u> Page 2

<u>Position of the Complainant</u>: The Respondent is responsible for providing health benefits coverage for the Complainant as a Pensioner.

<u>Position of the Respondent</u>: The Respondent is not responsible for providing health benefits coverage for the Complainant because the Complainant is not a "Pensioner" as defined under Article I (5) of the Employer Benefit Plan.

Pertinent Provisions

Article I (1), (2) and (5) of the Employer Benefit Plan provide:

Article I - Definitions

The following terms shall have the meanings herein set forth:

- (1) "Employer" means (Employer's Name).
- "Wage Agreement" means the National Bituminous Coal Wage Agreement of 1988, as amended from time to time and any successor agreement.
- (5) "Pensioner" shall mean any person who is receiving a pension, other than (i) a deferred vested pension based on less than 20 years of credited service, or (ii) a pension based in whole or in part on years of service credited under the terms of Article II & of the 1974 Pension Plan, or any corresponding paragraph of any successor thereto, under the 1974 Pension Plan (or any successor thereto), whose last classified signatory employment was with the Employer, subject to the provisions of Article II B of this Plan.

Article II B. (1) of the Employer Benefit Plan provide:

Article II - Eligibility

The persons eligible to receive the health benefits pursuant to Article III are as follows:

B. Pensioners

Health benefits and life insurance under Article III hereof shall be provided to Pensioners as follows:

(1) Any Pensioner who is not again employed in classified signatory employment subsequent to

Opinion of Trustees Resolution of Dispute Case No. <u>88-003</u> Page 3

- (a) such Pensioner's initial date of retirement under the 1974 Pension Plan, and
- (b) February 1, 1988, shall be eligible for coverage as a Pensioner under, and subject to all other provisions of this Plan.
 Notwithstanding (i) and (ii) of the definition of Pensioner in Article I(S) of this Plan, any such Pensioner who was eligible for benefits under the 1974 Benefit Plan as a Pensioner on December 5, 1977, shall be eligible for such benefits, subject to all other provisions of this Plan.

Discussion

Article II B. of the Employer Benefit Plan provides health benefits and life insurance to Pensioners. Article I (5) of the Plan defines such Pensioners as "any person who is receiving a pension [under the 1974 Pension Plan], other than (i) a deferred vested pension based on less than 20 years of credited service, or (ii) a pension based in whole or in part on years of service credited under the terms of Article II G. of the 1974 Pension Plan, ... whose last classified signatory employment was with the Employer."

The Complainant was awarded a Minimum Disability pension, effective February 1, 1986, pursuant to Article II D. of the 1974 Pension Plan. The evidence contained in the Complainant's record was reviewed, and the Funds' original decision that the Complainant is entitled to such pension benefits was confirmed. The Respondent contends that the Complainant does not satisfy the definition of Pensioner as set forth in Article I (5) of the Employer Benefit Plan because the Complainant's Minimum Disability pension is based on years of service credited under the terms of Article II G. of the 1974 Pension Plan. Article II G. of the 1974 Pension Plan refers specifically to service credit for vesting purposes, i.e. when non-classified signatory service is used to meet the minimum service requirements. Under the terms of the 1974 Pension Plan there is no minimum signatory service requirement to qualify for a Minimum Disability pension. Consequently, the Complainant's eligibility for a Minimum Disability pension is not based, either in whole or in part, on years of service credited under Article II G. of the 1974 Pension Plan.

Inasmuch as the Complainant is receiving a 1974 Pension Plan Minimum Disability Pension, he is a Pensioner within the definition of Article I (5) of the Employer Benefit Plan, and is eligible to receive health benefits under Article II B. thereof. Therefore, the Respondent is responsible for providing health benefits coverage for the Complainant and his eligible dependents from the effective date of his pension, February 1, 1986, for as long as he continues to satisfy the eligibility requirements of Article II B. of the Employer Benefit Plan.

Opinion of the Trustees

The Complainant meets the requirements of eligibility as provided by Article II B. of the Employer Benefit Plan. Therefore, the Respondent is responsible for the provision of health benefits coverage for the Complainant and his eligible dependents pursuant to the Plan's terms,

Opinion of Trustees
Resolution of Dispute
Case No. <u>88-003</u>
Page 4
effective February 1, 1986, for as long as the Complainant continues to satisfy those requirements.