Opinion of Trustees Resolution of Dispute Case No. 81-622 Page 1

OPINION OF TRUSTEES

In Re

Complainant: Pensioner Respondent: Employer

ROD Case No: <u>81-622</u> - Hay 28, 1986

<u>Board of Trustees:</u> Joseph P. Connors, Sr., Chairman; Paul R. Dean, Trustee; William B. Jordan, Trustee; William Miller, Trustee; Donald E. Pierce, Jr., Trustee.

Pursuant to Article IX of the United Mine Workers of America ("UMWA"> 1950 Benefit Plan and Trust, and under the authority of an exemption granted by the United States Department of Labor, the Trustees have reviewed the facts and circumstances of this dispute concerning health benefits coverage for a pensioner under the terms of the Employer Benefit Plan.

Background Facts

The Complainant is a Pensioner whose last classified signatory work in the coal industry was for Respondent. The effective date of his pension is August 1, 1983. Respondent was signatory to the National Bituminous Coal Wage Agreement ("Wage Agreement") of 1981, which expired on September 30, 1984. Respondent ceased operations on August 15, 1983 and did not sign the National Bituminous Coal Wage Agreement of 1984.

In an independent investigation conducted by the Funds, it was determined that' Respondent was not "no longer in business" within the meaning of Article II E (4) of the 1974 Benefit Plan and Trust because it was financially able to provide benefits.

The Respondent asserts that it is not responsible for providing health benefits coverage to the Complainant because the contractual agreement it had to mine coal for Island Creek Coal Company had expired and Island Creek ceased to provide benefits for Respondent's employees and pensioners at that time.

The union representative for the Complainant requests that the Trustees issue an opinion as to whether the Respondent is responsible for providing benefits coverage to the Complainant as a 1974 Plan pensioner.

Dispute

Whether the Respondent is responsible for providing health benefits to Complainant as a Pensioner.

Opinion of Trustees Resolution of Dispute Case No. <u>81-622</u> Page 2

Positions of the Parties

<u>Position of Complainant:</u> The Respondent is responsible for the provision of health benefits to Complainant as a Pensioner.

<u>Position of Respondent:</u> Respondent has ceased its coal mining activities and is not responsible for the provision of health benefits.

Pertinent Provisions

Article XX(c)(3)(i) of the National Bituminous Coal Wage Agreement of 1981 provides:

(3)(i) Each signatory Employer shall establish and maintain an Employee benefit plan to provide, implemented through an insurance carrier(s), health and other nonpension benefits for its Employees covered by this Agreement as well as pensioners, under the 1974 Pension Plan and Trust, whose last signatory classified employment was with such Employer. The benefits provided by the Employer to its eligible Participants pursuant to such plans shall be guaranteed during the term of this Agreement by that Employer at levels set forth in such plans. Such plans shall also include that each signatory Employer continue to make the death benefit payments in pay status as of December 5, 1977, for deceased Employees and pensioners under the 1974 Pension Plan whose last signatory classified employment was with such Employer, in the same manner and in the s- amounts as previously provided for in the 1974 Benefit Plan and Trust. The plans established pursuant to this subsection are incorporated by reference and made a part of this Agreement, and the terms and conditions under which the health and other non-pension benefits will be provided under such plans are as to be set forth in such plans.

Article I(1),(2), and (5) of the Employer Benefit Plan provide:

ARTICLE I. Definitions

The following terms shall have the meanings herein set forth:

- (1) "Employer" means (Employer's Name)
- (2) "Wage Agreement" means the National Bituminous Coal Wage Agreement of 1981, as amended from time to time and any successor agreement.
- (5) "Pensioner" shall mean any person who is receiving a pension other than
 (i) a deferred vested pension based on less than 20 years of credited
 service, or (ii) a pension based in whole or In part on years of service
 credited under the terms of Article II G of the 1974 Pension Plan, or any
 corresponding paragraph of any successor thereto, under the 1974 Pension

Opinion of Trustees Resolution of Dispute Case No. 81-622 Page 3

Plan (or any successor thereto>, whose last classified signatory employment was with the Employer, subject to the provisions of Article I! B of this Plan.

Article II.B.(1) of the Employer Benefit Plan provides:

B. <u>Pensioners</u>

Health benefits and life insurance under Article III hereof shall be provided to Pensioners as follows:

- (1) Any Pensioner who is not again employed in classified signatory employment subsequent to
 - (a) such Pensioner's initial date of retirement under the 1974 Pension Plan, and
 - (b) June 7, 1981, shall be eligible for coverage as a Pensioner under, and subject to all other provisions of this Plan. Notwithstanding (i) and (ii) of the definition of Pensioner in Article I(5) of this Plan, any such Pensioner who was eligible for benefits under the 1974 Benefit Plan as a Pensioner on December 5, 1977, shall be eligible for such benefits, subject to all other provisions of this Plan.

Discussion

Article XX(c)(3)(i) of the 1981 Wage Agreement requires a signatory employer to establish and maintain an employer benefit plan to provide health and other non-pension benefits for its pensioners whose last classified employment was with such employer. Inasmuch as the Complainant's last signatory classified employment was with the Respondent, the Respondent was responsible for his health benefits coverage during the term of the 1981 Wage Agreement.

The issue here, however, is whether the Respondent was contractually obligated. to provide such' coverage beyond the expiration of the 1981 Wage Agreement when the Respondent did not sign the 1984 Wage Agreement. The United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit in <u>Dist. 29, United Mine Workers of America, et. al. v. Royal Coal Co.</u>, 768 F. 2d 588, 592 (4th Cir. 1985) and <u>Dist. 17, United Mine Workers of America, et. al. v. Allied Corp., etc.</u>, 765 F. 2d 412, 417 (4th Cir. 1985) (en banc), has ruled that an Employer's contractual obligation to provide health benefits to its pensioners does not extend beyond the expiration of the Wage Agreement.

Opinion of the Trustees

Given the controlling language of the applicable Wage Agreement and Plan documents and in light of the Fourth Circuit's recent decisions, the Respondent is not responsible for providing health benefits to the Complainant following the expiration of the 1981 Wage Agreement.

Opinion of Trustees Resolution of Dispute Case No. 81-622 Page 4

However, the Respondent is responsible for providing health benefits to the Complainant from August 1, 1983 through the expiration of the 1981 Coal Wage Agreement on September 30, 1984.