Opinion of Trustees					
Resol	Luti	on	of	Dispute	
Case	No.	81	L-26	57 <u> </u>	
Page	1				

OPINION OF TRUSTEES				
In Re				
Complainant: Respondent: ROD Case No:	Employee Employer 81-267 - September 27, 1983			
Board of Trustees: Trustee.	Harrison Combs, Chairman; John J. O'Connell, Trustee; Paul R. Dean,			
under the authority Trustees have review	IX of the United Mine Workers of America 1950 Benefit Plan and Trust, and of an exemption granted by the United States Department of Labor, the ewed the facts and circumstances of this dispute concerning payment of harges, and hereby render their opinion on the matter.			
Sickness and Accid	ility for Sickness and Accident Benefits was also raised in this dispute. dent Benefits, as set forth in Article XI of the 1950 Benefit Plan and Trust, are ty of the exemption granted to the Trustees by the Department of Labor and, e addressed.			
	Background Facts			
and off" for approx experienced an acu and he began to ex Because of these n attention. Since his	information submitted, the Employee experienced episodes of "numbness on kimately one week prior to his emergency room visit. On February 2, 1983, he are change in his condition when his left arm became "really cold and numb" perience "a big throbbing like pain" which radiated "up and down" his arm. ew and acute symptoms he became concerned enough to seek medical as personal physician's office was closed, he sought care for those problems at my room. The Employer has denied the \$28.00 charge for the emergency room.			
	<u>Dispute</u>			
Is the Employer re	sponsible for payment of emergency room charges?			
	Position of the Parties			

<u>Position of Employee</u>: The emergency room charge should be a covered benefit since it was the only facility available for the evaluation of the acute medical symptoms he experienced.

Opinion of Trustees Resolution of Dispute Case No. 81-267 Page 2

<u>Position of the Employer</u>: The emergency room charge is not covered because this case cannot be considered a valid emergency medical situation requiring emergency treatment, since his symptoms were no different than any experienced the previous week.

Pertinent Provisions

Article III. A.(2) (a) of the Employer's Benefit Plan provides:

Benefits are provided for a Beneficiary who receives emergency medical treatment or medical treatment of an injury as the result of an accident, provided such emergency medical treatment is rendered within 48 hours following the onset of acute medical symptoms or the occurrence of the accident.

1981 Question and Answer #81-10 provides:

Subject: Definition of Emergency Treatment Benefit

References: Amended 1950 and 1974 Benefit Plans and Trusts, Article III,

Sections A (2) and A (3) (i)

Question:

Benefits are provided for emergency medical treatment or medical treatment of an injury as the result of an accident, provided the treatment is rendered within 48 hours following the onset of acute medical symptoms or the occurrence of the accident

- 1. Would emergency treatment for conditions such as the following be covered under this provision?
 - acute pain attributed to gout?
 - heart attack, severe chest pain, or congestive failure experienced by a patient with (chronic) heart disease?
 - intracranial bleeding or stroke experienced by a patient with hypertension?
- 2. Are benefits provided for inpatient and outpatient hospital and physicians' services following emergency treatment beyond the 48-hour initial care limit (for example, suture removal or cast removal)?

Answer:

- 1. Yes, because the <u>symptoms</u> are acute and require emergency treatment, even though the underlying illness causing the symptoms may be chronic.
- 2. Yes, if the follow-up treatment is covered under the Plan.

Opinion of Trustees Resolution of Dispute Case No. 81-267 Page 3

Discussion

Q&A #81-10 and Article III. A. (2) (a) of the Employer's Benefit Plan provide that for emergency medical treatment to be a covered benefit, it must be rendered within 48 hours following the onset of acute medical symptoms.

The information presented in this case establishes that the Employee presented himself to an emergency room for evaluation of acute symptoms within 48 hours of their onset.

The Funds' medical staff reviewed the clinical information presented in this case. They are of the opinion that the Employee's symptoms did justify emergency medical treatment and/or evaluation.

Because it has been determined that the patient's condition did warrant emergency medical treatment and because the treatment was rendered within 48 hours of the onset of acute symptoms, the Employer is responsible for payment or the emergency room charges.

Opinion of the Trustees

The Trustees are of the opinion that the Employer is responsible for payment of the emergency room charges.